



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,514	09/25/2001	Aaron R. Kunze	10559-526001	3324
20985	7590	06/13/2006	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			HYUN, SOON D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

22

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/965,514	KUNZE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Soon D. Hyun	2616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Soon D. Hyun (Examiner). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Bill Hunter (Applicant). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: June 01, 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 2002/0016858 (Sawada et al).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the claimed subject matter "a predetermined non-forwarding destination address" is discussed. The Applicant interprets it in light of specification, but it can be interpreted as a destination address with a discard flag in FIG. 12 of the reference as discussed in the last Office Action. If the detailed description for the subject matter in paragraph 0006 of the specification is added to the claim, the claim rejection of the last Office Action will be overcome.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



DORIS H. TO  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required